


REMARKS

Applicant is of the belief that the Examiner is in error in that while labeled two embodiments, there is really one embodiment, and that the restriction should be withdrawn as clearly erroneous. A reading of the specification at page 5 line 18 et seq. reveals the fact that there is really one embodiment. Both the unit of Figure 4 and the unit of Figure 2 operate in like manner. There is no distinction. Note specifically lines 21-22 of that page.

In any event applicant picks the embodiment of Figure 4 with traverse. The text says that only two straps are needed. The four pieces shown converge to two. The additional straps have no technical bearing on the operation of the device. They are the same device for all units and purposes.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark C. Jacobs, 24043

Attorney for Applicant(s)

sactopat@aol.com